

ICAT's Monitoring, Evaluation Learning and Uptake (MELU) Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)¹

The outcomes and impact of all country and regional projects is assessed based on ICAT's Monitoring, Evaluation, Learning and Uptake (MELU) Framework, including the following activity tracking and key performance indicators:

Activity Tracking

1. Number of ICAT methodologies and guides developed and published on the ICAT website, accompanied by supporting modules, templates and tools;
2. Number of ICAT contracts and work plans signed since ICAT's inception with national and subnational governments and non-state actors. Number of countries that have confirmed their participation in ReCATHS;
3. Number of ICAT projects / ICAT ReCATH countries that worked on at least one of the following:
 - Develop an MRV framework and/or data system;
 - Develop a GHG inventory;
 - Develop an NDC tracking framework;
 - Assess greenhouse gas and/or sustainable development impacts of sectoral policies;
 - Build a framework to analyze projections of greenhouse gas emissions and removals;
 - Develop monitoring frameworks for just transitions;
 - Develop the M&E of adaptation actions and/or loss & damage-related data;
 - Build a climate finance transparency framework; and/or
 - Aggregate or integrate greenhouse gas impacts of subnational and non-State actions.
4. Number of ICAT partner countries and non-ICAT partner countries that take part in ICAT-organized peer-to-peer learning and/or knowledge-sharing events; and
5. Number of people trained as part of ICAT country projects and ReCATHs (gender-disaggregated).

Key Performance Indicators

KPI #	Description
KPI 1	Number of people that report putting their ICAT training on tools and methodologies into practice through their work.
KPI 2	Number of ICAT projects / ICAT ReCATH countries utilising ICAT tools and methodologies/ guides
KPI 3	Number of ICAT projects that incorporate a gender dimension in data collection or analysis.
KPI 4	Number of ICAT partner countries that improve their reporting to the UNFCCC ² .
KPI 5	Number of ICAT partner countries that include new or refined policies and measures in support of their existing or updated Nationally Determined Contributions.
KPI 6	Number of ICAT partner countries that have improved policies, developed regulations or taken

¹ Please note that prior to July 2025, the MELU framework KPIs were as per [this document](#)

² Cases where ICAT is explicitly mentioned in the submission are counted under a sub-category of this KPI

	other steps to advance policies and measures as a result of ICAT supported assessments at the sector and/or thematic level.
KPI 7	<p>Number of ICAT projects where intervention has contributed to transformational climate action, according to the following rubric:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Low: The results of the ICAT project have been acknowledged by decision-makers at least once, with the potential to influence climate action. ● Sustainable but not (yet) impactful: The results of the ICAT project have been acknowledged and used repeatedly by decision-makers who refer to the information and capacity and consider it part of their individual and institutional knowledge base. ● Impactful: The results of the ICAT project had an impact on the climate action discussion. Symptoms of that impact include the development of a new policy or a climate finance project proposal. ● Transformational (sustained, structural, relevant, significant): The results of the ICAT project have improved the quality of the policy-making process by structurally changing the nature of the discussion (e.g., specifically, ICAT project results are used in a data-driven decision-making process). This change will be sustained, and climate action has been initiated based on the ICAT support. <p>Countries will be asked:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● To what degree has the project led to transformational climate action? Please provide examples; and ● To what degree is the project likely to lead to transformational climate action? Please provide examples.
KPI 8: ReCATH	<p>Composed of the following sub-indicators:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Regional level: Degree to which the ReCATHs have helped to establish regional partnerships: a 4-point Likert Scale (no partnerships built, initial discussions have taken place to build a partnership, at least one partnership has been formalized, more than one partnership has been formalized)³. ● Country level: Degree to which the ReCATHs have supported or triggered country level activity: a 4-point Likert Scale (no impact, some support provided, significant support provided, decisive push provided). Country level activity could include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Increased resource mobilization for climate change-related efforts; ○ The development of an MRV framework; ○ Adaptation and mitigation actions; and/or ○ Raising the level of ambition in climate action.

³ A partnership could also result in funding or supporting part of the ReCATH work.